

~~TOP SECRET~~
~~TOP SECRET~~
~~TOP SECRET~~

786011-1240

3. The draft State-Defense plan is unduly restrictive in its concept for use of airpower. It provides, during either the covert or overt phase, for US destruction of the offending nexus of SAM sites or air bases if the SAM batteries or interceptor aircraft brought down a US plane. However, it fails to include during the overt phase of operations the need for the possible suppression and/or elimination of those air defenses which might endanger the air movement of US troops into the area.

4. The draft State-Defense plan is at variance in several significant respects with the views of the Joint Chiefs of Staff, who consider that:

a. Although a palace revolt may be the most likely type of revolt, it is unlikely to occur at this time.

~~TOP SECRET~~
~~TOP SECRET~~

~~TOP SECRET~~
~~TOP SECRET~~

F780011-1241

~~TOP SECRET~~

7. In summary, the Joint Chiefs of Staff conclude that many aspects of the draft State-Defense plan in its present form are not militarily feasible or desirable and, if implemented, would entail excessive risks. It is recommended that the plan be revised to reflect the comments in the foregoing paragraphs, those forwarded in JCSM-359-63, and the data forwarded by JCSM-360-63, dated 10 May 1963, to the Secretary of the Army for use in his capacity as Executive Agent of the DOD for Policy toward Cuba. A copy of JCSM-360-63, together with its attachment, is forwarded ^{for the Joint Chiefs of Staff} ~~for the Joint Chiefs of Staff~~.

70M 18 3 22 AM '63

For the Joint Chiefs of Staff:

Attachment

Signed

~~TOP SECRET~~

3

MAXWELL D. TAYLOR

Chairman
Joint Chiefs of Staff

~~TOP SECRET~~
~~TOP SECRET~~